The objections to claims 7, 9 and 15 have been overcome by making the amendments suggested in the Action.

The rejection of claims 1 to 16 as being as being obvious over Richman in view of Bentele and further in view of the Chatterjee is traversed.

Independent claims 1 and 9 are directed to a method for analyzing the safety of a product in view of a safety incident associated with the product and prior safety incidents. The method provides a novel procedure for conducting an accident scenario review (ASR) that uses a template from an earlier ASR conducted for comparative prior safety incident associated with the product. The method updates the template of each ASR for each successive comparative safety event and generates at least one corrective action from each ASR. The applied prior art does not disclose a method for conducting ASRs and certainly does not discuss conducting an ASR based on an ASR template or updating the template with each ASR.

Richmann discloses a database programs for accident reports. The correction feature of the program allows changes to be made to correct data in the database. See Richmann, col. 5, lns. 44-58. Changing a database file to correct errors is not conducting an ASR and does not suggest tailoring an existing ASR template to conduct a subsequent ASR. The Richmann database stores accident reports. There is no suggestion in Richmann of modifying correct data regarding the accident reports. Rather, Richmann

Kenneth WHALING et al Appl. No. 10/751,490

March 21, 2008

teaches how to correct incorrect data in a database. The ASR templates recited in claim 1

and 19 do not have errors and are not in need of correction

Further, the rejected claims relate to "conducting an accident scenario review."

Richmann relates to the database storage of accident reports. Richmann provides no

suggestions or procedures for analyzing an accident, e.g., an ASR, or generating accident

reports. As such, Richmann does not relate to the claimed invention.

Bentele discloses an automatic procedure for deploying an air bag. The procedure

occurs before or during an accident, and cannot be considered a procedure for reviewing

an accident. Contrary to the Action, the description in Bentele of different scenarios for

triggering an air bag are not accident reviews and do not follow an ASR template selected

from a prior ASR. The Bentele air bag triggering scenarios also do not or that update an

ASR template for subsequent ASRs.

Chatteriee relates to accident predicative models (APMs) that are based on studies

of multiple accidents. The APMs are not accident safety reviews, but may use reports of

ASRs to generate a predictive model of accidents. Chatterjee teaches away from the

current invention by teaching the use of APMs to develop safety corrective actions rather

than developing such actions as part of the accident safety review. The difference

between ASRs and APMs is evident from claim 5 that relates to incorporating an APM as

part of an ASR.

The combination of Richman, Bentele and Chatterjee would not have rendered the

claimed invention to have been obvious. It is not apparent why or how a person of

- 7 -

1300072

Kenneth WHALING et al Appl. No. 10/751,490

March 21, 2008

ordinary skill would have combined these references related to an accident database,

airbag triggering events, and modeling accidents. The Action combines these references

using the benefit of hindsight gained from this application. Without benefit of this

application, one of ordinary skill in the art would not have been directed to the current

invention.

All claims are in good condition for allowance. If any small matter remains

outstanding, the Examiner is requested to telephone applicants' attorney. Prompt

reconsideration and allowance of this application is requested.

The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge any deficiency, or credit any

overpayment, in the fee(s) filed, or asserted to be filed, or which should have been filed

herewith (or with any paper hereafter filed in this application by this firm) to our Account

No. 14-1140.

Respectfully submitted,

NIXON & VANDERHYE P.C.

By: ____/Jeffry H. Nelson/

Jeffry H. Nelson Reg. No. 30,481

JHN:glf

901 North Glebe Road, 11th Floor Arlington, VA 22203-1808

Telephone: (703) 816-4000

Facsimile: (703) 816-4100

- 8 -

1300072